

The Lax operators $\mathcal{L}$ of the Benney type equations bound with the circle

A.Balan
 École Polytechnique
 Centre de Mathématiques
 UMR 7640 of CNRS
 F-91128 PALAISEAU Cedex
email: balan@math.polytechnique.fr

Abstract

The Lax operators of the Benney type equations are studied on the circle. The vectors fields of the Lax operators are showed to commute with each other

1 The injectivity for the Lax operators $\mathcal{L}$

The operator $\mathcal{L}$ of [1] can have the decomposition:

$$\mathcal{L} = L + \sum_{\lambda} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda} = \sum_i \phi_{\lambda,i} (\partial + \lambda)^{-1} \psi_{\lambda,i},$$

with $\phi_{\lambda,i}, \psi_{\lambda,i} \in \cup_n \text{Ker}(T - \lambda I)^n$, T is the translation, I is the identity.

$$\partial^{-2} = \partial^{-1} \partial^{-1} = \partial^{-1} [\partial, t] \partial^{-1} = t \partial^{-1} - \partial^{-1} t.$$

A first question is the one of injectivity.

Théorème 1.1 .

$$\sum_{\lambda} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} = 0.$$

It is possible to make the choice of analytic functions around the unity circle. The injectivity can then be proved.

$$\sum_{\lambda} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda} = \sum_i \phi_{\lambda,i} \partial^{-1} \psi_{\lambda,i},$$

choosing a free family in $\cup_n \ker(T - \lambda I)^n$, it give:

$$\sum_{\lambda,i} \tilde{\phi}_{\lambda,i} \tilde{\psi}_{\lambda,i}^{(n)} \partial^{-n-1} a_{\lambda,i} = 0,$$

so for all n ,

$$\sum_{\lambda,i} \tilde{\phi}_{\lambda,i}^{(n)} \tilde{\psi}_{\lambda,i} = 0.$$

Using the Wronskian, it is then possible to show injectivity.

2 The product of two operators

It is possible to write generically:

$$\mathcal{L} = A^{-1}B = B'A'^{-1}.$$

It must be showed:

$$\psi_{\lambda} \tilde{\phi}_{\mu} \in \cup_u \ker(T - \lambda^{-1} \mu I)^n.$$

The product $\mathcal{L}^2$ can have the same form.

$$\begin{aligned} a(\partial + \lambda)^{-1} b.c(\partial + \mu)^{-1} d &= \\ &= a(\partial + \lambda)^{-1} [\partial, \int^x bc] (\partial + \mu)^{-1} d = \\ &= a(\int^x bc) \left(\frac{1}{\partial + \mu} \right) d - a(\partial + \lambda)^{-1} \left(\int^x bc \right) \frac{\partial + \lambda}{\partial + \mu} d. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a(t+1) &= e^\lambda a(t), \\
b(t+1) &= e^{-\lambda} b(t), \\
c(t+1) &= e^\mu c(t), \\
d(t+1) &= e^{-\mu} d(t). \\
a\partial^{-1}[\partial, (\int^x bc)_{\mu-\lambda}]\partial^{-1}d &= \\
&= a_\lambda\partial^{-1}(\int^x bc)_{\mu-\lambda}\partial^{-1}d_{-\mu} - a_\lambda\partial^{-1}(\int^x bc)_{\mu-\lambda}d_{-\mu}.
\end{aligned}$$

Définition 2.1 . An operator is of type λ if:

$$\sum_i \phi_i \partial^{-1} \psi_i,$$

with $\phi_i \in \cup_n \text{Ker}(T - \lambda I)^n$, $\psi_i \in \cup_n \text{Ker}(T - \lambda^{-1} I)^n$.

With this definition, A is of type λ and B of type μ implies that $AB = C + D$ with C of type λ , D of type μ .

Proposition 2.1 . Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Lax operator $\mathbb{Z}$ periodic, then:

$$\mathcal{L} = L + \sum_i \phi_{\lambda,i} \partial^{-1} \psi_{\lambda,i},$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\lambda,i} &\in \cup_n \text{Ker}(T - \lambda I)^n, \\
\psi_{\lambda,i} &\in \cup_n \text{Ker}(T - \lambda^{-1} I)^n.
\end{aligned}$$

And the product of two such operators $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}$ has the same form.

3 The vectors fields of the Lax operators $\mathcal{L}$

Définition 3.1 . Vectors fields of the Lax operators $\mathcal{L}$ are defined as:

$$\partial_{\lambda,i} \mathcal{L} = [\mathcal{L}_\lambda^i, \mathcal{L}].$$

3.1 The phase space

Let $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ be the Lax operator corresponding with λ .

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda = \sum_i \phi_{\lambda,i} \partial^{-1} \psi_{\lambda,i}.$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\phi_{\lambda,i}) + \deg(\psi_{\lambda,i}) &\leq N_\lambda. \\ [\mathcal{L}_\lambda, \mathcal{L}_\mu] &= [\phi_\lambda \partial^{-1} \psi_\lambda, \phi_\mu \partial^{-1} \psi_\mu]. \\ \partial \phi_\mu &= \phi_\lambda \left(\int^x \psi_\lambda \phi_\mu \right). \end{aligned}$$

of degree $\deg(\phi_\mu) + N_\lambda + 1$.

3.2 The commutativity

Théorème 3.1 . *There is commutativity of the vectors fields:*

$$[\partial_\lambda - \mathcal{L}_\lambda, \partial_\mu - \mathcal{L}_\mu] = 0.$$

Proof:

it must be showed:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\lambda(\mathcal{L}_\mu) - \partial_\mu(\mathcal{L}_\lambda) &= [\mathcal{L}_\lambda, \mathcal{L}_\mu]. \\ \partial_\lambda \mathcal{L} &= [\mathcal{L}_\lambda, \mathcal{L}] = \sum_{\mu \neq \lambda} [\mathcal{L}_\lambda, \mathcal{L}_\mu]. \\ \partial_\lambda(\mathcal{L})_\mu &= (\partial_\lambda \mathcal{L})_\mu = ([\mathcal{L}_\lambda, \mathcal{L}_\mu])_\mu, \\ \partial_\mu(\mathcal{L})_\lambda &= (\partial_\mu \mathcal{L})_\lambda = ([\mathcal{L}_\mu, \mathcal{L}_\lambda])_\lambda, \\ \partial_\lambda(\mathcal{L}_\mu) - \partial_\mu(\mathcal{L}_\lambda) &= ([\mathcal{L}_\lambda, \mathcal{L}_\mu])_\mu + ([\mathcal{L}_\lambda, \mathcal{L}_\mu])_\lambda. \\ (\partial_{\lambda,i} \mathcal{L}^j)_\mu &= [(\mathcal{L}^i)_\lambda, \mathcal{L}^j]_\mu = \\ &= \sum_k \mathcal{L}^k (\partial_{\lambda,i} \mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}^{j-1-k}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda \neq \mu$,

$$[(\mathcal{L}^i)_\lambda, (\mathcal{L}^j)_\mu]_\mu - [(\mathcal{L}^i)_\mu, (\mathcal{L}^j)_\lambda]_\lambda = [(\mathcal{L}^i)_\lambda, (\mathcal{L}^j)_\mu].$$

If $\lambda = \mu$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& ([\mathcal{L}_\lambda^i, \mathcal{L}^j] + [(\mathcal{L}_\mu^i, \mathcal{L}_\lambda^j)])_\lambda = \\
& = ([-\sum_{\nu \neq \lambda} (\mathcal{L}^i)_\nu, \mathcal{L}^j])_\lambda - \\
& - ([\sum_{\nu \neq \lambda} (\mathcal{L}^i)_\mu, (\mathcal{L}^j)_\lambda])_\lambda + ([\mathcal{L}^i, (\mathcal{L}^j)_\lambda])_\lambda = ([(\mathcal{L}^i)_\lambda, (\mathcal{L}^j)_\lambda])_\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

3.3 The Hamiltonians

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda = \sum_i \tilde{\phi}_{\lambda,i} \partial^{-1} \tilde{\psi}_{\lambda,i},$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\phi}_{\lambda,i} \in \text{Ker}(T - \lambda I)^n, \\
& \tilde{\psi}_{\lambda,i} \in \text{Ker}(T - \lambda^{-1} I)^n. \\
& \text{res}(\mathcal{L}_\lambda) = \int_{S^1} \sum_i \tilde{\phi}_{\lambda,i} \tilde{\psi}_{\lambda,i}.
\end{aligned}$$

With the choice of $\mathcal{L}$ $\mathbb{Z}$ periodic, the $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ are $\mathbb{Z}$ periodic.

$$A\mathcal{L} = B, A^T \mathcal{L} = B^T,$$

gives:

$$A = A^T, B = B^T.$$

If $L = 0$.

$$\mathcal{L} = A_\lambda^{-1} B_\lambda + \tilde{A}^{-1} \tilde{B},$$

equivalent with:

$$B = \tilde{A} B_\lambda + C \tilde{B}.$$

$$A_\lambda \tilde{A}^{-1} = \tilde{A}^{-1} C.$$

$$\tilde{A} A_\lambda = C \tilde{A}.$$

$$B = \tilde{A} B_\lambda + C \tilde{A}.$$

$A = C \tilde{A}$ has for zeros $\phi_{\lambda,i}$, and $A^* = \tilde{A}^* C^*$ has for zeros $\phi_{\lambda^{-1},i}^*$.

References

- [1] B.Enriquez, A.Yu.Orlov et V.N.Rubtsov, *Dispersionful analogues of Benney's hierarchies*, Inverse Problems 12 (1996), p.241-50 ; solv-int/9510002.